



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov  
DW Oct-06

NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.  
SUITE 1000  
999 PEACHTREE STREET  
ATLANTA GA 30309-3915

COPY MAILED

OCT 25 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :  
Cantrell et al. :  
Application No. 09/784,575 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: 15 February, 2001 :  
Atty Docket No. 23101.0003U1 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 11 July, 2006, under 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned on 18 January, 2006, for failure to timely submit an Appeal Brief in response to the Notice of Appeal filed on 17 November, 2005. Petitioner filed an amendment after final rejection concurrently with the Notice of Appeal, but the amendment did not place the application in condition for

<sup>1</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

allowance. An Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief was mailed on 22 December, 2005. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 28 June, 2006.

Petitioners have filed a Request for Continued Examination and an amendment to the claims as the required submission under 37 CFR 1.114.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply.<sup>2</sup> The five-month extension request filed on 11 July, 2006, was submitted more than five (5) months after the end of the period for reply to the Notice of Appeal mailed on 17 November, 2005, and therefore is unnecessary. The extension of time fee paid on 17 November, 2005, will be credited to counsel's deposit account as authorized.

The application is being referred to the Technology Center for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

---

<sup>2</sup> See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988).